UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,458	09/10/2003	Dean Jeffrey Schneider	1001-114	4875
	7590 01/16/200 THENNISCH PC	EXAMINER		
29 W LAWRENCE ST			KOEHLER, CHRISTOPHER M	
	SUITE 210 PONTIAC, MI 48342		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/659,458	SCHNEIDER, DEAN JEFFREY		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christopher M. Koehler	3726		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Au</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
··· _	•			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) \(\int \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/18/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/659,458 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of in the reply filed on 8/8/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is persuasive and the restriction is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bockenheimer (US Patent No. 5,937,486).

Claim 1:

Bockenheimer teaches a method of forming an assembly for a transportation vehicle, the method comprising: (a) providing a first component (20) of the transportation vehicle (col. 1, lines 15-20), the first component defining an opening (2); (b) providing a second component (30) of the transportation vehicle, the second component defining an opening (3); (c) providing a plastic annular member (5) extending between a first end and a second end, the plastic annular member having an inner surface (28) defining an open space; (d) applying an expandable polymeric material (5) upon at least one of the first component and the plastic annular member for forming a first seal (figure 8); (e) applying an expandable polymeric material (5) upon at least one of the second component and the plastic annular member for forming a second seal (Figure 8); and (f)

Art Unit: 3726

expanding the first seal and the second seal (figure 8) such that: i) the first seal is adhered to a surface of the first component and a surface of the plastic annular member; and ii) the second seal is adhered to a surface of the second component and a surface of the plastic annular member (note that a seal is formed in both openings 2 and 3 by the expandable polymeric material). The recitation of a fuel fill assembly has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Claim 2:

Bockenheimer teaches that the second component (30) is a body side inner panel of a vehicle (col. 1, lines 15-20).

Claims 3 and 6:

Bockenheimer teaches maintaining the position of the plastic annular member (5) relative to the first component (20) with one or more mechanical mating fasteners (25, 10) and assembling the first component to the vehicle prior to the step of expanding the first seal and the second seal, wherein the step of assembling the first component to the vehicle interconnects the opening (3) of the second component (30) with the open space (28).

Application/Control Number: 10/659,458 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

Claims 4 and 5:

Bockenheimer teaches that the first and second seals are annular (figure 8).

Claim 10:

Bockenheimer teaches that the expandable seal expands between about 50 and 350% (figure 8).

Claim 12:

Bockenheimer teaches that the annular member includes a first flange (26) and a second flange (10') bounding the first and second seals and the first and second components respectively.

Claim 13:

Bockenheimer teaches that the annular member is attached to the first and second components without any welds (figure 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7-9, 11 and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bockenheimer.

Claims 7, 8 and 11:

Bockenheimer teaches the application of an expandable polymeric material but does not explicitly teach its composition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3726

skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used an ethylene-based material that is fuel resistant, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of is suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. The use of ethylene based expandable polymeric materials is known in the art as shown by Barz et al. (US Patent No. 6,383,610) at col. 3, lines 49-65. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use an ethylene based material in the method of Bockenheimer since its silence on the exact composition to be utilized implies that any known composition would be suitable including ethylene-based materials.

Claim 9:

Bockenheimer teaches the invention cited with the exception of a two-step application. At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to have used a two-step application because applicant has not disclosed that such an application provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the integral molding application taught by Bockenheimer or the claimed two-step application because either application performs the same function of providing the expandable material equally well. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Bockenheimer to obtain the invention specified in claim 9. *Claim 14:*

Bockenheimer teaches a method of forming an assembly for a transportation vehicle, the method comprising: providing a first body side outer panel component (20) of the transportation vehicle (col. 1, lines 15-20), the first component defining an opening (2); providing a second component (30) of the transportation vehicle, the second component defining an opening (3); providing a plastic annular member (5) extending between a first end and a second end, the plastic annular member having an inner surface (28) defining an open space; applying an expandable polymeric material (5) upon at least one of the first component and the plastic annular member for forming a first seal (figure 8); applying an expandable polymeric material (5) upon at least one of the second component and the plastic annular member for forming a second seal (Figure 8); maintaining the position of the plastic annular member relative to the first component with mechanical fasteners (25, 10); and expanding the first seal and the second seal (figure 8) such that: the first seal is adhered to a surface of the first component and a surface of the plastic annular member; the second seal is adhered to a surface of the second component and a surface of the plastic annular member (note that a seal is formed in both openings 2 and 3 by the expandable polymeric material); and the open space (28) is interconnected with the openings of the components (figure 8).

Bockenheimer teaches that the components are automotive body panels, however, the invention is capable of broader application and could be incorporated in a variety of components but does not specifically teach that the second component is a wheelhouse. Since a wheelhouse is one of a variety of automotive body components it

Art Unit: 3726

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the method of Bockenheimer thereto as one of the variety of components in which Bockenheimer envisioned broader application of his method.

The recitation of a fuel fill assembly has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Claims 15-22:

See the rejections of claims 6-13 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Koehler whose telephone number is (571)272-3560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30A-4:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,458 Page 8

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. M. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 3726

/DAVID P. BRYANT/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726